

Review article



Identifying technology industry-led initiatives to address digital health equity

Digital Health
Volume 7: 1-9
© The Author(s) 2021
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/20552076211056156
journals.sagepub.com/home/dhj

(\$)SAGE

Keri Durocher^{1,2}, Navi Boparai¹, Damian Jankowicz¹

Abstract

Objective: The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted various barriers to health and the necessity of having access to digital health services. The technology industry can support addressing health barriers, promoting health equity and partnering with organizations to ensure access to digital health services for underserviced communities. The main objective of this study was to 1) identify what initiatives have been developed within the technology industry to address digital health equity; and to 2) determine whether these initiatives have been effective.

Methods: A rapid review and a grey literature scan were conducted. The academic searches were performed using four databases, including Ovid MEDLINE, Scopus, CINAHL and PsychInfo. Two reviewers screened the articles for inclusion criteria. The grey literature scan was performed through Google and Million Short. Searches of technology industry initiatives were completed through scanning technology companies listed on the New York Stock Exchange, the Toronto Stock Exchange and iShares Expanded Tech Sector – Exchange Traded Fund.

Results: Within the technology industry, 39 companies had relevant initiatives. These were identified as having one or more of the following: 1) having health-related collaborations with other companies, 2) promoting access to technology infrastructure and 3) delivering programs that supported notable inequities within the social determinants of health. Limited data are available on the effectiveness of these initiatives in reducing health inequities.

Conclusions: As technology in the delivery of health services continues to evolve, health equity initiatives must be supported through innovative strategies. Partnering with the technology industry may be one way of addressing these health equity challenges.

Keywords

digital divide, health equity, technology, industry, information technology, social determinants of health, digital technology Submission date: 8 July 2021; Acceptance date: 11 October 2021

Introduction

Background

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted a proliferation of digital health services to support health care access within the context of public health restrictions. In the United States during the first two weeks of the pandemic, virtual care visits increased by 154% compared to before the pandemic. Similar findings were discovered throughout the spring of 2020 in Ontario, Canada, with 70.6% of all

¹Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada ²Arthur Labatt Family School of Nursing, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada

³Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Corresponding author:

Keri Durocher, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 1000 Queen St W, Toronto, Ontario M6J 1H4, Canada.

ing of 2020 in Ontario, Canada, with 70.6% of all Email: kduroch3@uwo.ca, keri.durocher@camh.ca

primary care visits being virtual.³ Although increases in virtual care use present an innovative solution to health service delivery, it is crucial to consider the risk of virtual care's influence on existing digital health inequities, also known as the *digital divide*. In this Canadian context, health equity is defined as removing barriers to care services to help individuals reach optimal health.⁴

The digital divide is a phenomenon that encompasses a lack of access to digital resources for specific communities and groups that may be vulnerable due to factors such as location, age, health literacy and socioeconomic status.^{5,6} This concept has been widely studied to assess its prevalence in various communities and populations. Specifically, notable technological disparities have been associated with older adulthood, racialized communities, people who live in rural areas and people who have a lower socio-economic status.^{7–9} The COVID-19 pandemic has been described as widening the divide, as more groups become dependent on various technologies for health care access. 10 Due to the need for social distancing, this issue is worsened by decreased contact with individuals who can provide technological support, such as caregivers. 11-13 The World Health Organization has conceptualized a global strategy to enhance digital health services within the next decade.¹⁴ Therefore, knowing that a digital divide exists, solutionsbased research is imperative to address these factors using innovative solutions.

The technology industry and the individual companies that comprise it are in a unique position to address issues that widen the digital divide through promoting access to health services, technology infrastructure and focusing on systems that impact the social determinants of health (SDH). In partnership with health systems, the expertise and strength of technology companies can be leveraged to deliver and scale integrated health solutions. This approach can foster the implementation of new tools and models of care that promote health equity. However, this is an emerging space, so understanding relevant gaps provides a framework for further research on the influence of technology initiatives on promoting health equity. This novel research provides a foundation for understanding how technology companies have addressed inequities and what future work can be done in the post-pandemic era to continue to address the digital divide.

Research questions

The research questions were as follows:

1. What initiatives have been developed within the technology industry to improve digital health access and promote health equity?

Objectives:

(a) Identify what populations are targeted for health equity interventions by the technology industry.

- (b) Determine whether there are specific time parameters for equity-related initiatives (e.g. internet is offered for 1 year).
- (c) Identify whether the equity initiatives were formally evaluated and analyze these outcomes.
- 2. Have there been any previous partnerships between health care organizations and the technology industry to enhance access to technology infrastructure, promote access to health care or address the SDH? Objectives:
 - (a) Identify the structures of these partnerships.
 - (b) Evaluate whether these collaborations focused on enhancing equity.

Methods

A rapid review of academic literature as per the Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group was conducted, as well as a grey literature scan.¹⁵

Search strategy

The defined levels of health equity used to guide these searches included:

- Infrastructure initiatives that improve access to health services and resources, such as technological devices.
- 2. Health-specific initiatives that have a direct link to technology.
- Innovative technology interventions that address the SDH.⁶

The academic search commenced through consultation with a librarian to develop appropriate search terms and to find relevant databases. The searched databases included Ovid MEDLINE, Scopus, CINAHL and PsycINFO using applicable syntaxes for the corresponding databases.

For the grey literature scan, search engines including Google and Million Short were utilized using predefined search terms. The next step involved a manual search of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), the Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX) and the iShares Expanded Tech Sector – Exchange Traded Fund (ETF) to identify technology and communications companies within North America that could potentially be involved in health equity initiatives. 16,17 This innovative search approach was implemented because many companies who engage in health equity initiatives do not publish their findings. Therefore, all pertinent data may not be yielded using traditional academic search methods. A scan of 368 companies was performed by examining their websites, and the search engine results to identify potential health equity initiatives. These companies were grouped and ranked based on the number of health equity initiatives they were involved in and the community reach of the outlined initiatives.

Table 1. Technology industry initiatives to improve digital health access.

infrastructure and devices	 Low-cost or subsidized broadband and internet service²¹⁻²⁵ Low-cost or subsidized phone plans²⁵ Provision of hardware, software, and phones²⁴⁻²⁸ and power sources²⁹ Electronics for home schooling³⁰ 	 People who are economically disadvantaged Older adults Remote/rural communities
		 Communities in low-middle income countries (LMICs) Women in shelters Underserviced communities Victims of natural disasters
	 Creation of STEM programs^{24,26,31-39} and digital academies⁴⁰ Coding programs³⁸ Workforce development programs⁴¹ Project initiatives to enhance company development of education⁴² Building practical skills in technology and computer learning^{39,40} Community health worker training⁴³ Technology training within schools⁴⁴ Career support and development⁴⁵ 	 Women and girls Racialized communities People who are economically disadvantaged Communities in LMICs Veterans Underserviced communities Victims of natural disasters People with autism
	 Transportation for long-term care facilities and residences⁴⁶ Vaccination appointments^{47,48} Healthcare-related appointments^{49,50} Subsidized transportation/rides⁵¹ 	Older adultsUnderserviced communities
	 Heart health screening⁵² Infectious disease screening⁵³ 	Communities in LMICs
	 Equitable COVID-19 vaccine distribution⁵⁴ Cloud programs to help with global vaccine distribution⁵⁵ 	 Underserviced communities Communities in LMICs
Banking access	 Provision of digital IDs to provide secure banking access⁵⁶ 	Communities in LMICs
Technological development of non-profit organizations	 Partnership development to enhance education and technical literacy for underserviced individuals through non-profit collaborations⁵⁷ Enrollment of charities for non-profit support³⁰ 	Underserviced communities
	 Artificial intelligence for health^{26,58} Provision of health necessities and living expenses⁵⁹ Affordable housing^{60,61} Funding for technology within communities⁶² Funding education initiatives⁶³ Digital inclusion fundraising⁶⁴ 	 Underserviced communities Racialized communities
	 Funding for housing projects⁶⁵ Assisting with home building³⁰ 	Racialized communitiesVeterans
Back-to-work programs	Support of post-service work securement ²⁸	• Veterans

STEM: science, technology, engineering and mathematics.

^{*}It is important to note that health equity initiatives were included that can both directly and indirectly influence individual health, specifically through the social determinants of health. For example, having access to banking services and back-to-work programs are important holistic factors that impact health.

Inclusion criteria

The following inclusion criteria were outlined for articles within the academic literature review:

- A focus on one or more areas of health equity, including:
 - Technology-specific interventions that focus on infrastructure
 - Direct access to care initiatives
 - Initiatives that promote positive outcomes within the SDH
- Results connected health equity interventions with positive health outcomes
- Results outlined formal evaluations of health equity initiatives
- Published between 2011–2021 (10-year range)
- All research designs and methodologies

The following inclusion criteria were outlined for technology companies within the grey literature scan:

- A focus on one or more areas of health equity, including:
 - Technology-specific interventions that focus on infrastructure
 - Direct access to care initiatives
 - Initiatives that promote positive outcomes within the SDH
- Companies were listed within the NYSE, the TSX or the iShares expanded technology sector ETF within technology and/or communications groups

Screening

Articles yielded from the predefined search terms were included in the review and screened using Covidence software. The following steps were implemented to perform the academic review:

- (a) A calibration exercise was performed by two reviewers for the title and abstract screening. Thirty citations were scanned for this exercise and an agreement of >80% was yielded from this process.
- (b) Both reviewers screened 25% of the remaining citations (approximately 230 abstracts) to ensure an acceptable level of agreement.
- (c) One reviewer screened the remaining citations for inclusion in the full-text screening process. The second reviewer screened all excluded citations, and 100% agreement was yielded.
- (d) A second calibration exercise was performed by two reviewers for the full-text articles. Five articles were screened to assess for the inclusion criteria. An agreement of >80% was yielded from this process.

(e) The remaining full-text articles were screened by one reviewer, and data extraction was performed for the included articles.

Results

Academic literature findings: overview

A Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses diagram can be viewed in Appendix A that summarizes the results of the rapid review. Based on the outlined inclusion criteria, only three (n=3) articles were appropriately identified. The main reason noted for this finding is that despite the plethora of digital health equity interventions that exist, almost none of the literature included an evaluation component for the effectiveness of the interventions.

Grey literature scan findings: overview

From the 368 companies that were reviewed through the NYSE, the TSX and the ETF, 39 met the inclusion criteria outlined based on the three levels of health equity. Fifteen of the included companies were outlined as being highly involved in promoting health equity, as they either had more than one health equity initiative or their initiative(s) targeted more than one population.

Technology industry initiatives to improve digital health access

Targeted populations. The populations that were targeted for various health equity initiatives depended on the broad types of initiatives that were undertaken within the technology industry. Leaders in the technology industry engaged in specific initiatives that aimed at reducing the digital divide for various populations. Both the initiatives and the target populations are outlined in Table 1.

Time parameters for interventions. From the academic review and grey literature scan, no time-specific parameters were identified for initiatives within the technology industry. However, as technology is continuously evolving, data gathered from the grey literature scan suggests that initiatives that technology companies choose to engage in will continue to advance to address issues associated with the digital divide.

Formal evaluation of interventions. From the academic literature review, one study (n=1) addressed health equity through the application of telemedicine technology. This intervention was evaluated and found an increase in community engagement, which supports the SDH of *social engagement and inclusion*. ^{6,18} The second included study (n=1) involved the implementation of a computerized

Table 2. Health care and technology industry partnerships to enhance access.

Type of health equity initiative: Collaborations	Specific Initiatives
Application technology development	 App-based programs adapted by healthcare organizations for patient wellness⁶⁶ Coordination in home or community care⁶⁷
Coalitions for health access	 Health Equity and Access Leadership Coalition (HEAL) to improve access to technology and improve health literacy within underserviced communities⁶⁸ Access to healthcare and living necessities⁶⁹
Low-cost services	 Collaboration with healthcare organizations to provide low-cost services, such as telemedicine⁷⁰
Foundations	 Partnerships with healthcare organizations to support various foundations or charitable work^{64,71} Partnerships with non-profit organizations that benefit health⁷²
Developing health technology systems	 Metric development to help health systems recognize inequities⁷³
Virtual care	• Partnerships to improve virtual care access ^{56,74}
Healthcare referrals	• Partnership that provides social services referrals ⁷⁵
Disease-specific initiatives	• Supporting patients who require chronic care ⁷⁶

translation service to support care services for patients who were non-English speaking in a hospital setting. This intervention was evaluated as enhancing more timely access to care, supporting the SDH of *health service access*, as well as satisfying the health equity level of health care services. 6,19 The third study (n=1) evaluated the effectiveness of a mental health app in enhancing the management of anxiety and access to mental health services. 20 Again, the intervention supported the SDH of *health service access* and satisfied the health equity level of health care services. 6

From the grey literature scan, technology industry initiatives did not provide formal, research-based evaluations of their programs. However, many companies provided narratives from individuals who engaged in their initiatives to demonstrate positive impacts.

Health care partnerships to enhance access to technology infrastructure, health care access or key health determinants

Multiple technology companies were identified as having collaborative initiatives with health-related networks, other technology companies and/or non-profit organizations to provide funding and resources to address multiple levels of health equity. As innovative, health equity strategies are difficult to initiate independently, these partnerships provide frameworks for continued collaborative work in the future. Many of these initiatives focused on various populations at both community and global levels, such as initiating social equity funds. Table 2 provides an overview of the identified initiatives within the technology industry that had a collaborative focus. These initiatives include health care and technology industry partnerships that aim to improve access to health.

Discussion

Identified initiatives that have been implemented within the technology industry have ranged from upstream activities that broadly focus on areas that impact health, to more focused, community-level initiatives. Most notably, the SDH most targeted by the technology industry is education access. Education initiatives promote a preventative health care approach, by assisting to provide access to programs by populations, such as underserviced youth, who may not have been able to engage in these programs otherwise. Not surprisingly, many education programs focused on science, technology, engineering and mathematics education; these programs mostly have a science and technology focus and are predicted as being in high demand over the next few decades.⁷⁷

Some initiatives by the technology industry were particularly focused, such as providing transportation services to various populations for health-related services. 47,51,75 Again, key companies that provided these services were within transportation industries, which suggests that industry leaders utilize their company services to benefit communities.

Our findings also demonstrate that there has been a vast assortment of populations targeted for health equity initiatives. One of the most common targeted populations included underserviced areas or communities; this is a broad term that can encompass a diverse number of people from a variety of communities. This finding suggests that many leaders in the technology

industry focus on reducing inequities within their local communities and target various issues that are identified at local levels. Other common populations that were targeted included older adults, people who are economically disadvantaged, women and girls, veterans, racialized communities, rural communities and people who have experienced natural disasters. Industry initiatives that focused on specific groups, such as older adults, may still be community-focused or be targeted at national or global levels.

The vast number of partnerships and collaborations identified through this research demonstrates that technology initiatives that support health cannot occur independently. To successfully integrate technology and health, industry leaders must collaborate to foster innovative solutions that address health inequities. Different health equity collaborations through this research included large coalitions, virtual care delivery, foundation development, disease-specific focuses and the development of effective health technology systems.

Implications

Health system engagement with the technology industry can help to develop long-term solutions to address the digital divide. The identified partnerships and collaborations between technology industry leaders and health care organizations demonstrate key opportunities for the integration of technology and health. Technology interventions within health care systems can occur at many different levels of service, including client care, research and medicine. Collaborations between key leaders in both health care and technology industries can help strengthen community engagement and continue to promote the identification of local populations who can benefit from continued health equity initiatives.

Technology industry engagement in providing access to health technology infrastructure can also significantly reduce barriers associated with the digital divide. The For example, the identification of communities who can benefit from access to technological devices, such as tablets and computers for learning, was imperative during the COVID-19 pandemic. Other notable examples include providing service to broadband internet and mobile phone use.

Policy implications that integrate both health care and technology industries should continue to focus on addressing the SDH. Despite the prominent focus on education, other industry initiatives can target other determinants, such as income and social status, structural conflict, food insecurity and social protection.⁸⁰

Limitations

Due to the vast amount of global technology companies, our grey literature scan was limited to public companies listed via the NYSE and TSX within North America. Therefore, despite these results being generalizable to North American populations, more research is needed to determine whether similar initiatives are being targeted on a global scale. Secondly, our academic rapid review results remain limited due to a lack of evaluated technology initiatives that focus on health equity. Despite there being a plethora of technology health initiatives available, many are not formally evaluated to assess their efficacy in addressing components of health equity. Future work in this space should focus on the outcomes of implementing technological interventions to address health equity so that further gaps can be recognized.

Conclusion

As technology in the delivery of health services continues to evolve, health equity initiatives must be supported through innovative strategies. Technology companies are in key positions to help supplement health equity strategies through health care access, health infrastructure support and creating programs that address the SDH. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the necessity of combating the digital divide for underserviced populations, as technology and health care continue to become intertwined in the future. Further work that evaluates the effectiveness of these programs will help to recognize additional gaps to be addressed and can provide baseline data and more innovative initiatives in the future.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Sarah Bonato for her assistance and guidance in this research.

Contributorship: KD, GS, NB and DJ conceptualized the foundational principles for this work. A protocol was developed by KD, GS and NB to guide the methodology. KD performed the grey and academic literature searches and GS was the second reviewer for the academic rapid review. All authors were involved in data analysis procedures. KD was responsible for the writing of this manuscript with significant input and review by GS, NB, and DJ. All authors agree regarding the final content of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval: Ethical approval was not required for this manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH).

Informed Consent: Not applicable, because this article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

Guarantor: KD.

ORCID iD: Keri Durocher https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9014-4742

Trial Registration: Not applicable, because this article does not contain any clinical trials.

References

- 1. Gunasekeran DV, Tham Y-C, Ting DSW, et al. Digital health during COVID-19: lessons from operationalising new models of care in ophthalmology. *Lancet Digit Health* 2021; 3: e124–e134.
- Koonin LM, Hoots B, Tsang CA, et al. Trends in the use of telehealth during the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic —United States, January—March 2020. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020; 69: 1595–1599.
- Bhatia RS, Chu C, Pang A, et al. Virtual care use before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a repeated cross-sectional study. CMAJ Open 021; 9: E107–E114.
- Public Health Ontario. Health equity, https://www. publichealthontario.ca/en/health-topics/health-equity (2021, accessed 28 February 2021).
- Humphreys G. Digital health and COVID-19. Bull World Health Organ 2020; 98: 731–732.
- 6. Government of Canada. *Social determinants of health and health inequalities*, https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/health-promotion/population-health/what-determines-health. html (2020, accessed 21 February 2021).
- 7. Nguyen A, Mosadeghi S and Almario CV. Persistent digital divide in access to and use of the internet as a resource for health information: results from a California population-based study. *Int J Med Inf* 2017; 103: 49–54.
- 8. Kontos E, Blake KD, Chou W-YS, et al. Predictors of eHealth usage: insights on the digital divide from the health information national trends survey 2012. *J Med Internet Res* 2014; 16: e172–e172.
- Hong YA, Zhou Z, Fang Y, et al. The digital divide and health disparities in China: evidence from a national survey and policy implications. *J Med Internet Res* 2017; 19: e317–e317.
- Ramsetty A and Adams C. Impact of the digital divide in the age of COVID-19. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2020; 27: 1147–1148.
- 11. Hassan S and Daniel B-J. During a pandemic, the digital divide, racism and social class collide: the implications of COVID-19 for black students in high schools. *Child Youth Serv* 2020; 41: 253–255.
- Arighi A, Fumagalli GG, Carandini T, et al. Facing the digital divide into a dementia clinic during COVID-19 pandemic: caregiver age matters. *Neurol Sci* 2021; 42: 1247–1251.
- 13. Fatmi Z, Mahmood S, Hameed W, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practices towards COVID-19 among Pakistani residents: information access and low literacy vulnerabilities. *East Mediterr Health J* 2020; 26: 1446–1455.
- World Health Organization. Global strategy on digital health 2020–2025, https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/documents/ gs4dhdaa2a9f352b0445bafbc79ca799dce4d.pdf (2020, accessed 4 March 2021).

15. Garritty C, Gartlehner G, Nussbaumer-Streit B, et al. Cochrane rapid reviews methods group offers evidence-informed guidance to conduct rapid reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2020; 130: 13–22.

- New York Stock Exchange. Explore direct listing, https://www. nyse.com/direct-listing (2020, accessed 5 January 2021).
- Toronto Stock Exchange. Listed company directory, https://www.tsx.com/listings/listing-with-us/listed-company-directory (2020, accessed 5 January 2021).
- Hatef E, Alexander M, Vanderver B, et al. Assessment of annual diabetic eye examination using telemedicine technology among underserved patients in primary care setting. *Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol* 2017; 24: 207–212.
- Freyne J, Bradford D, Pocock C, et al. Developing digital facilitation of assessments in the absence of an interpreter: participatory design and feasibility evaluation with allied health groups. *J Med Internet Res* 2018; 20: e1–e1.
- Hoffman L, Benedetto E, Huang H, et al. Augmenting mental health in primary care: a 1-year study of deploying smartphone apps in a multi-site primary care/behavioral health integration program. *Front Psychiatry* 2019; 10: 94–94.
- Rogers. Connected for success, https://about.rogers.com/giving-back/connected-for-success/ (2021, accessed 7 January 2021).
- Maxar. Nusantara satu, https://explorespace.maxar.com/earth/ nusantara-satu/?utm_source=maxar.com-purpose&utm_medium= website (2019, accessed 28 January 2021).
- 23. Bertoni S. Top bosses at verizon, google. Mastercard form group to build universal broadband. https://www.forbes.com/sites/stevenbertoni/2021/01/28/top-bosses-at-verizon-google-mastercard-form-group-to-build-universal-broadband/?sh=7c70b4ea66ee (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- T-Mobile. *Investing in youth*, https://www.t-mobile.com/content/ t-mobile/corporate/responsibility/community/education.html (2021, accessed 18 February 2021).
- TELUS. TELUS To provide free smartphones and data plans to youth leaving foster care in Saskatchewan, https://www. globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/09/08/2089994/0/en/ TELUS-to-provide-free-smartphones-and-data-plans-to-youth-leaving-foster-care-in-Saskatchewan.html (2020, accessed 7 January 2021).
- CGI. STEM@CGI at home: supporting at-home learning, https:// www.cgi.com/en/article/corporate-social-responsibility/stemcgiat-home-supporting-at-home-learning (2021, accessed 22 January 2021).
- 27. Malik A. Rogers donating phones with voice and data plans to women's shelters in BC, https://mobilesyrup.com/2020/05/19/rogers-donating-phones-womens-shelters-b-c/ (2020, accessed 7 January 2021).
- Zendesk. Global impact report, Report, Zendesk, United States, 2019.
- T-Mobile. Emergency response, https://www.t-mobile.com/ content/t-mobile/corporate/responsibility/community/emergency. html (2021, accessed 18 February 2021).
- 30. eBay. *Ebay for charity*, https://www.ebayinc.com/impact/ebay-for-charity/ (2021, accessed 4 February 2021).
- CGI. CGI Collaborates with the Indian government to promote STEM and innovation at schools through atal innovation mission, https://www.cgi.com/en/article/corporate-socialresponsibility/cgi-collaborates-with-the-indian-governmentto-promote-stem-and-innovation-at-schools-through-atalinnovation-mission (2021, accessed 22 January 2021).

32. Texas Instruments. *Giving and volunteering*, https://www.ti.com/lit/ml/szzo008/szzo008.pdf?ts=1611783898965 (2019, accessed 28 January 2021).

- Applied Materials. Generation girl, https://www.appliedmaterials. com/company/corporate-responsibility/generation-girl (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- 34. TE Connectivity. TE Foundation makes \$1,250,000 in grants to three organizations focused on increasing access to STEM careers across the globe, https://www.te.com/usa-en/about-te/ news-center/corporate-news/2018/2018-05-07-te-foundationmakes-1-250-000-in-grants-to-three-organizations-focused-onincreasing-access-to-stem-careers-across-the-globe.html (2018, accessed 4 February 2021).
- RingCentral. Ringcentral's corporate social responsibility program, https://www.ringcentral.com/corporate-responsibility. html (2021, accessed 4 February 2021).
- DXC technology. Environmental, social and governance, https://www.dxc.com/us/en/about-us/corporate-responsibility (2021, accessed 11 February 2021).
- Verizon. Technology, education, opportunity, https://www.verizon.com/about/responsibility/digital-inclusion/verizon-innovative-learning (2021, accessed 11 February 2021).
- 38. Raytheon Missiles & Defense. *Charting a course for success in STEM*, https://www.raytheonmissilesanddefense.com/news/feature/charting-course-success-stem (2020, accessed 17 February 2021).
- Dell Technologies. Future workforce, https://corporate. delltechnologies.com/en-ca/social-impact/transforming-lives/education.htm (2021, accessed 4 February 2021).
- Adobe. Elevating diverse voices, https://www.adobe.com/ca/ corporate-responsibility/creativity.html (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Workday. Creating career pathways that unleash human potential, https://www.workday.com/en-us/company/corporateresponsibility/workday-foundation.html (2021, accessed 4 February 2021).
- 42. Apple. Apple launches major new racial equity and justice initiative projects to challenge systemic racism, advance racial equity nationwide, https://www.apple.com/newsroom/2021/01/apple-launches-major-new-racial-equity-and-justice-initiative-projects-to-challenge-systemic-racism-advance-racial-equity-nationwide/ (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Accenture. Amref health Africa, https://www.accenture.com/ ca-en/case-studies/about/success-amref-health-africa (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Pelosi J. Zoom cares & our commitment to a connected, sustainable world, https://blog.zoom.us/zoom-cares-our-commitment-to-a-connected-sustainable-world/ (2020, accessed 28 January 2021)
- Paloalto. Cybers stars, https://start.paloaltonetworks.com/ cyber-stars.html (2021, accessed 4 February 2021).
- Uber. Economic opportunities, https://www.uber.com/ca/en/community/economic-opportunities/ (2021, accessed 17 February 2021).
- 47. Jaspen B. *Uber, walgreens partner on 'equitable access' to Covid-19 vaccines with free rides*, https://www.forbes.com/sites/brucejapsen/2021/02/09/uber-walgreens-partner-on-covid-19-vaccine-rollout/?sh=7b8adbb61c78 (2021, accessed 17 February 2021).

- 48. Balasubramanian S. *Lyft is quickly growing its presence in healthcare*, https://www.forbes.com/sites/saibala/2021/12/29/lyft-is-quickly-growing-its-presence-in-healthcare/?sh= 40dc38381c32 (2020, accessed 18 February 2021).
- Uber. Uber health 2020 product update, https://www.uber.com/newsroom/uber-health-2020-product-update (2020, accessed 17 February 2021).
- 50. Lyft. *Transportation for all*, https://www.lyft.com/lyftup/programs (2021, accessed 18 February 2021).
- Minemyer P. Lyft wants to solidify its place in healthcare. Meet its new health policy guru, https://www.fiercehealthcare.com/ payer/meet-lyft-s-new-health-policy-guru (2020, accessed 18 February 2021).
- Qualcomm. Wireless heart health, https://www.qualcomm. com/media/documents/files/wireless-heart-health-improves-health-care-for-rural-patients-in-china.pdf (2014, accessed 28 February 2021).
- Qualcomm. Wireless reach mHealth innovations improve maternal health and fight ebola in West Africa, https://www. qualcomm.com/news/onq/2015/03/23/wireless-reach-mhealth-innovations-improve-maternal-health-and-fight-ebola-west (2015, accessed 28 February 2021).
- 54. Landi H. Google commits \$150 M to COVID-19 vaccine education, partnering with One medical to open vaccination sites, https://www.fiercehealthcare.com/tech/google-commits-150m-to-covid-vaccine-education-partnering-one-medical-to-open-vaccination (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Oracle. Tasmania digitizes COVID-19 consumer vaccination process with oracle cloud, https://www.oracle.com/ca-en/ corporate/citizenship/health/ (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Dell Technologies. Transforming the world with technology and partnerships, https://corporate.delltechnologies.com/enca/social-impact/transforming-lives.htm (2021, accessed 4 February 2021).
- Okta. Tech for good, https://www.okta.com/responsibility/ supporting-our-communities/ (2021, accessed 4 February 2021).
- Pennic F. Microsoft launches \$40 M, 5-year AI for health initiative to advance health globally, https://hitconsultant. net/2020/01/29/microsoft-ai-for-health-initiative/ (2020, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Ceridian. Ceridian cares, https://www.ceridiancares.com/ about.html (2021, accessed 22 January 2021).
- Mazza S. Amazon Dedicates \$2 billion for affordable housing in Nashville, Seattle, arlington, https://www.tennessean.com/ story/money/2021/01/06/amazon-dedicates-2-billion-housingnashville-seattle-and-arlington/6555876002/ (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- 61. ServiceNow. Servicenow launches \$100 million fund to build equitable opportunities for black communities, https://www.servicenow.com/company/media/press-room/racial-equity-fund.html (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Cisco. Technology for good, https://www.cisco.com/c/m/en_us/about/csr/esg-hub/impact-report/technology.html (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Zillow Group. Charitable giving, https://www.zillowgroup. com/zillow-for-good/charitable-giving/ (2021, accessed 4 February 2021).
- 64. PND. Verizon foundation launches programs to address healthcare disparities, https://philanthropynewsdigestorg/

- news/verizon-foundation-launches-programs-to-address-healt hcare-disparities (2021, accessed 11 February 2021).
- PND. Netflix commits \$25 million to housing racial equity initiative, https://philanthropynewsdigestorg/news/netflixcommits-25-million-to-housing-racial-equity-initiative (2020, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Nexj health. Nexj health, https://www.nexjhealth.com/ (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Bryant B. Salesforce tackling home care, social determinants of health with new services, https://homehealthcarenews.com/ 2019/02/salesforce-tackling-home-care-social-determinantsof-health-with-new-services/ (2019, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Consumer Technology Association. Health, tech, government leaders join CTA and CHI coalition to address health disparities amplified by COVID-19, https://cta.tech/Resources/ Newsroom/Media-Releases/2020/September/Health,-Tech,-Government-Leaders-Join-CTA-and-CHI (2020, accessed 28 January 2021).
- KLA. KLA Foundation, AHA launch social equity fund, https://www.kla-tencor.com/advance/life-at-kla/kla-foundationaha-launch-social-equity-fund (2020, accessed 4 February 2021).
- Drees J. 2 Ohio health organizations partner to offer direct-to-consumer telemedicine via Amazon, https://www. beckershospitalreview.com/telehealth/amazon-teams-up-with-2ohio-health-organizations-on-telemedicine-offering.html (2020, accessed 28 January 2021).
- 71. Oracle. *Causes we support*, https://www.oracle.com/corporate/citizenship/giving/community.html (2021, accessed 28 January 2021).
- 72. Strategic Partnering. *IBM Launches health corps to help communities around the world address public health challenges*, http://strategic-partnering.net/ibm-launcheshealth-corps-help-communities-around-world-address-publichealth-challenges/ (2016, accessed 28 January 2021).
- 73. Kent J. *Johns hopkins, IBM offer health equity metric to reduce disparities*, https://healthitanalytics.com/news/johnshopkins-ibm-offer-health-equity-metric-to-reduce-disparities (2020, accessed 28 January 2021).

- 74. Lillig G. Seamless face-to-face communication between patient & provider through zoom and epic, https://blog.zoom.us/zoom-epic-integration-patient-provider-communications/ (2020, accessed 28 January 2021).
- Jaspen B. Uber And lyft are expanding their health services into the social care realm, https://www.forbes.com/sites/ brucejapsen/2020/03/05/uber-and-lyft-launch-new-servicesto-address-social-determinants-of-health/?sh=f9fbfa04324d (2020, accessed 17 February 2021).
- Fitbit. Support plan members' healthy behavior changes, https://healthsolutions.fitbit.com/healthplans/ (2021, accessed 18 February 2021).
- 77. Xie Y, Fang M and Shauman K. STEM Education. *Annu Rev Sociol* 2015; 41: 331–358.
- National Health Service Wales. Digital inclusion guide for health and care in wales, Report, Wales Co-operative Centre with Carnegie UK Trust, UK, July 2019.
- UNICEF. How many children and young people have internet access at home?, https://data.unicef.org/resources/childrenand-young-people-internet-access-at-home-during-covid19/ (2020, accessed 18 February 2021).
- 80. World Health Organization. *Social determinants of health*, https://www.who.int/health-topics/social-determinants-of-health#tab=tab_1 (2021, accessed 18 February 2021).

Appendix A: PRISMA Flow Diagram

